

### REMARKS

In accordance with telephone discussions with Examiner Pappas on August 4, 2006, and on August 8, 2006, Applicants additionally amend claim 5 to delete the phrase “for rendering” and to add step (7), which recites “rendering an implicit function curved surface from the implicit volume function of the real value” as supported on page 25, line 15, to page 27, line 11, of the substitute specification filed August 12, 2005, which corresponds to page 24, line 25, to page 26, line 21, of the originally filed specification.

In view of the present amendment, method claims 5-8 now produce a “useful, concrete and tangible result” in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 101. State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group Inc., 47 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1601 (Fed. Cir. 1998).


No new matter has been added to the above-captioned application by the present amendment.

For the reasons of record, the claims 5-8 are now in condition for allowance and a prompt notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Questions are welcomed by the below-signed attorney for Applicants.

Respectfully submitted,

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joerg-Uwe Szapl  
Registration No. 31,799

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC  
Suite PH-1  
2300 Ninth Street, South  
Arlington, VA 22204

Telephone: (703) 979-5700  
Facsimile: (703) 979-7429  
E-Mail: gands@szipl.com  
Customer No.: 24203